

Public Document Pack

**MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE
HIGHWAYS ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Council Chamber - Town Hall
18 September 2012 (7.30 - 8.15 pm)**

Present:

COUNCILLORS

Conservative Group Garry Pain (Chairman), Billy Taylor (Vice-Chair), Steven Kelly, Barry Oddy and Frederick Thompson

Residents' Group Brian Eagling and John Wood

Labour Group Denis Breading

Independent Residents Group David Durant

Councillors Andrew Curtin, Ray Morgon and Barry Tebbutt were present for part of the meeting.

19 members of the public attended for at least part of the proceedings.

All decisions were taken unanimously, with no votes against unless shown otherwise..

The Chairman reminded Members of the action to be taken in an emergency.

31 MINUTES

The minutes of the meeting held on 14 August 2012 were agreed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

32 HAVERING'S 2013/14 - LOCAL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN FUNDING SUBMISSION

The Committee considered the report, and the draft 2013/14 LIP Funding Scheme Submission as detailed in Appendices A and B of the report, and without debate, **RESOLVED:**

To recommend the indicative allocations set out in Appendices A and B of the report to the Cabinet Member for Community Empowerment.

33 **SOUTH STREET, ROMFORD - ENHANCEMENTS PACKAGE PHASE 3 (REVISED)**

The Committee considered the report and without debate, **RESOLVED:**

1. To recommend the Cabinet Member for Community Empowerment that the footway widening proposed in the revised plan for Phase 3 of the scheme be implemented as described in paragraph 1.8 of the report and shown on Drawing QL016/SK001A; and
2. To note that the estimated cost of £300,000 will be met from the Romford Master Plan Capital Allocation (£150k) and 2012/13 Transport for London Local Implementation Plan allocation for the Romford Public Realm Package (£150k).

34 **PROPOSED SPEED TABLE - CROW LANE, JUNCTION WITH SEABROOK GARDENS & RAVEN CLOSE - OUTCOME OF PUBLIC CONSULTATION**

The Committee considered the report and without debate, **RESOLVED:**

3. To recommend the Cabinet Member for Community Empowerment that the speed table be implemented as set out in the report and shown on drawing 4993/SK52/P2 (Alan McEwen Associates Ltd); and
4. To note that the estimated cost of £20,000 will be met by the developer within an agreement made under S38 & S278 of the Highways Act 1980.

35 **HORNCHURCH STATION AREA PARKING REVIEW**

With the agreement of the Chairman Councillor Ray Morgon spoke in support of the officer recommendations. Without further discussion the recommendations were put to the vote. The Committee **RESOLVED** to recommend to the Cabinet Member for Community Engagement that:

1. The proposals as shown on plan QJ055-0F-28 Suttons Lane, be implemented as advertised and the effects of implementation be monitored.
2. The proposals as shown on plan QJ055-0F-29 Station Lane be implemented as advertised and the effects of implementation be monitored.
3. The proposals as shown on plan QJ055-0F-30 Kenilworth Gardens be implemented as advertised and the effects of implementation be monitored

4. The proposals as shown on plan QJ055-0F-31 Suttons Gardens, be implemented as advertised and the effects of implementation be monitored.
5. The proposals as shown on plan QJ055-0F-32 Cumberland Avenue, be implemented as advertised and the effects of implementation be monitored.
6. The proposals as shown on plan QJ055-0F-33 Cumberland Close, be implemented as advertised and the effects of implementation be monitored.
7. The proposals as shown on plans QJ055-0F-34, Matlock Gardens, be implemented as advertised and the effects of implementation be monitored.

The above recommendations were voted on block and approved unanimously.

8. (A) That for the proposals as shown on plans QJ055-0F-35 Hacton Drive be implemented as advertised and the effects of implementation be monitored.

The eighth recommendation was voted on separately and approved unanimously.

36 HIGHWAYS SCHEMES APPLICATIONS SEPTEMBER 2012

The report presented Members with all new highway scheme requests in order for a decision to be made on whether the scheme should be processed or not before resources were expended on detailed design and consultation.

The Committee would either make recommendations to the Head of StreetCare to progress the scheme or the Committee would reject the request.

The Committee's decisions and votes thereon are noted against each request:

SECTION A – Highway scheme proposals with funding in place			
Item Ref	Scheme	Description	Decision
H1	Rushdon Close and Loom Grove, Romford	Provision of a 20mph Zone in Rushdon Close and Loom Grove (new development) support planning obligation linked to P0206.10	Rejected 8 to 1

Highways Advisory Committee, 18
September 2012

H2	Philip Road, South Hornchurch	Consideration of further traffic calming measures.	Rejected 5 to 4
H3	Queen's Theatre access roads.	Provision of parking bays, blue badge (accessible) bays, a loading bay, short term stopping bays and one-way road (adjacent to main entrance) at Queen's Theatre, Hornchurch.	Approved unanimously
H4	Brunel -Close, Dickens Way, Caxton Way	Residents' parking scheme within 3 streets to coincide with road adoptions.	Councillor Curtin spoke in support of the scheme citing overwhelming public support for its implementation. efforts. Agreed by 8 votes to 0 with 1 abstention.

SECTION B - Highway scheme proposals without funding available			
H5	Percy Road	Road needs to have some sort of restriction to cars speeding down this road using it as a cut through and also large lorries that make the houses shake as they go past.	REJECTED
H6	Newbury Road	Restrict lorries following vehicle damage and vibration caused by HGVs. Shop area should be made one-way to deal with problems in residential roads.	REJECTED
H7	Ockendon Road	Provision of a pair of VA signs to cover village to deter speeding.	REJECTED
H8	Hailsham Road, Harold Hill	Road needs to 20mph speed limit and traffic calming	REJECTED

The Committee agreed that in future they did not wish to see details of any proposals submitted anonymously.

37 TRAFFIC AND PARKING SCHEMES REQUEST WORK PROGRAMME

The report before the Committee detailed all Minor Traffic and Parking Scheme application requests in order for a decision to be made on whether the scheme should progress or not before resources were expended on detailed design and consultation.

The Committee would either make recommendations to the Head of StreetCare to progress the scheme or the Committee would reject the request.

The Committee considered and agreed in principle the schedule that detailed the applications received by the service.

The Committee's decisions were noted as follows against each scheme:

SECTION A – Minor Traffic and Parking Scheme Requests			
Item Ref	Location	Description	Decision
TPC270	Junction Road, Romford	Request for Police vehicle only parking bays in Junction Road	Rejected by 8 votes to 0 with 1 abstention
TPC271	Langley Close, Harold Hill	Request for parking restrictions/yellow lines at entrance/opposite Rascal Confectionary Ltd and Saul Harrison & Sons. Drivers of HGV vehicles are unable to deliver/collect goods as vehicles parked too close/opposite.	Motion to reject the proposal was lost by 4 votes to 5. Motion to advertise the proposal was approved by 6 votes to 3.
TPC272	Springfarm Close, Rainham	Request for 'At any time' restrictions on both sides of road. Refuse vehicles unable to access Close.	Rejected unanimously
TPC273	Reptons and Tudors Area, Gidea Park.	Following information gathered from an informal questionnaire distributed to residents within the Reptons and Tudors area of Gidea Park and further discussions with Ward Members; a request to implement 'At any Time' restrictions and waiting restrictions in the area to deter commuter and obstructive parking practices	Agreed unanimously Councillor Curtin spoke in favour of the scheme citing residents desire to be formally consulted.
TPC274	Pond Walk, Upminster	Request to implement 8am to 6.30pm Monday to Saturday restriction in Pond Walk as residents think the restrictions as implemented have not solved the parking problems	Withdrawn – Not to be reconsidered for 6 months.

SECTION B – Minor Traffic and Parking Scheme Requests on hold for future discussion or funding issues

Item Ref	Location	Description	Decision
TPC70	Mashiters Walk, Romford	Request for single yellow line restriction between 10am and 11am following increase in commuter parking as a result of the restrictions recently implemented in the Lake Rise/Rosemary Avenue Area	Noted
TPC130	Cheshire Close, Emerson Park	Request for footway parking bays	Noted
TPC181	Mawney Road, Romford	Request to remove restrictions in Mawney Road in the area north of the A12	Noted
TPC195	Firham Park Estate, Harold Wood	Request from resident and visitor to estate for parking restrictions to deter commuter parking and junction protection to deter inconsiderate parking on corners obscuring sight lines.	Noted
TPC204	Elm Park Avenue/Broad way Elm Park	Request to review options of "loading ban" outside Tesco to ease traffic flow	Noted
TPC206	Brentwood Road, Romford	Request for review of parking provision in Brentwood Road (near Drill roundabout) and surrounding area following opening of Tesco Express	Noted
TPC213	Wolseley Road Area, Romford	Request for residents parking scheme to alleviate the problem of parking overspill from Queens Hospital	Noted
TPC232	Philip Avenue, junction with Rush Green Road	Request for double yellow lines at junction of Philip Avenue and Rush Green Road and the introduction of footway parking along the flank walls of Philip	Noted

		Avenue	
TPC233	Leonard Avenue junction with Rush Green Road	Request for double yellow lines at junction of Leonard Avenue and Rush Green Road and the introduction of footway parking along the flank walls of Leonard Avenue	Noted
TPC252	Balgores Crescent, Gidea Park	Request to look at further parking facilities in Balgores Crescent for the shops and businesses in Main Road	Noted
TPC255	Petersfield Avenue, Harold Hill	Request for restrictions in Petersfield Avenue at the junctions of Hucknal Close and Redruth Road. Buses (496) having to divert as vehicles parked 'legally' too close to islands making it difficult for them to proceed on their route	Noted

The Committee agreed that in future they did not wish to see details of any proposals submitted anonymously.

Chairman